

Final assignment

1. (10 points) Determine whether the following statements are true or false, and briefly verify your answer.

(A) Suppose that a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u_t = 2u_x$. Then, the solution u is uniquely determined by its initial data $u(x, 0) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

True. Since it is a linear transport equation, we have $u(x, t) = \varphi(x + 2t)$ for a certain smooth φ . Hence, the initial data determine φ by $\varphi(x) = u(x, 0)$.

(B) An entire smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the heat equation $u_t = \Delta u$ at all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. Suppose that $u(x, 0)$ is compactly supported. Then, for each $t > 0$, $u(x, t)$ is also compactly supported.

False. For example, we consider an initial data $g \geq 0$ which is compactly supported. Then, we can observe that the solution $u(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int g(y) e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} dy$ is positive for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$.

(C) An entire smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the wave equation $u_{tt} = \Delta u$ at all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. Suppose that $u(x, 0)$ is compactly supported. Then, for each $t > 0$, $u(x, t)$ is also compactly supported.

False. $u(x, t) = t$ is a counter-example.

(D) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Suppose that $u, v \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ are Dirichlet Laplace eigenfunctions such that the set $\{u \neq v\}$ has positive measure and $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \neq \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Then, the following holds

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx = 0. \tag{1}$$

False. Let $\Omega = (0, \pi)$, $u = \sin \theta$, $v = 2 \sin \theta$. Then, $v - u = \sin \theta$ and $\{\sin \theta \neq 0\} \cap \Omega = \emptyset$.

Remark. Even if we assume $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, the statement fails. For example, some eigenpairs (φ_k, λ_k) and $(\varphi_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1})$ can satisfy $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1}$ and $\langle \varphi_k, \varphi_{k+1} \rangle = 0$. (c.f. Google Dirichlet eigenfunctions on disk.) Then, $u = \varphi_k$ and $v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varphi_k + \varphi_{k+1})$ are a counterexample.

(E) Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Given $f, g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, the elliptic equation $\Delta u + u = f$ has a smooth solution of class $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying the Dirichlet condition $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$.

False. Let $\Omega = (0, \pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $g(x) = 0$, and $f(x) = \sin x$. Then, we have

$$0 < \int_0^\pi (\sin x)^2 dx = \int_0^\pi (\sin x)(u'' + u) dx = \int_0^\pi -u' \cos x + u \sin x dx = 0.$$

2. (20 points) Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $a_{ij}, b_i, c, f \in C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Also, $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$ holds in $\overline{B_2^+}$ and

$$\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})}, \|b_i\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})}, \|c_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} \leq \Lambda. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda\|\xi\|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$ holds for $x \in \overline{B_2^+}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, show that there exists some constant $C = C(n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_1^+})} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} + \|u\|_{C^0(\overline{B_2^+})} \right), \quad (3)$$

holds for every $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_2^+})$ satisfying $f = a_{ij}u_{ij} + b_iu_i + cu$ in $\overline{B_2^+}$.

Proof. We recall the result of the last problem of the problem set 4 that given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $C = C(n, \alpha)$ such that

$$[D^2u]_{\alpha; \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}} \leq [\Delta u]_{\alpha; \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}} \quad (4)$$

holds for $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ satisfying $u(x', 0) = 0$ for $x' = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Then, as the corollary in the video lecture 3, given a constant positive definite symmetric matrix a_{ij}^0 satisfying $\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}^0\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $C = C(n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda)$ such that

$$[D^2u]_{\alpha; \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}} \leq [a_{ij}^0u_{ij}]_{\alpha; \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}} \quad (5)$$

holds for $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ satisfying $u(x', 0) = 0$ for $x' = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Now, by modifying the proof of Lemma 3 in the video lecture 3, we will prove the following.

Claim : $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in C^\alpha(B_2^+)$ and $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_2^+)$ satisfies

$$f = \mathcal{L}u = a_{ij}u_{ij} + b_iu_i + cu \quad (6)$$

and

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \quad \lambda|\xi|^2 \leq a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j, \quad (7)$$

for some constant $\lambda > 0$ in Ω and $u(x) = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$. Let

$$\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})}, \|b_i\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})}, \|c\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} \leq \Lambda. \quad (8)$$

Then, there exists some $C = C(n, \alpha, \lambda, \Lambda)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_1^+)} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} + \|u\|_{C^2(\overline{B_2^+})}). \quad (9)$$

Proof of Claim. We recall χ in the proof of Lemma 3. Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_0^n = \{(x', 0) : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$ satisfying $B_{2\rho}^+(x_0) = \{x : \|x - x_0\| < 2\rho, \langle x - x_0, e_n \rangle > 0\} \subset B_2^+$, we define

$$v(x) = u(x)\chi(\|x - x_0\|\rho). \quad (10)$$

Then, $u = v$ in $B_\rho^+(x_0)$, $v = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{2\rho}(x_0)$ and \mathbb{R}_0^n , and $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$. Hence, for $a_{ij}^0 = a_{ij}(x_0)$ we have (5). Next, by the identically same calculation of the proof of Lemma 3, we can obtain

$$[D^2u]_{\alpha; B_\rho(x_0)^+} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} + \|u\|_{C^2(\overline{B_2^+})}), \quad (11)$$

for some small enough $\rho \leq \frac{1}{10}$. Hence, we have

$$[D^2u]_{\alpha; B_1^{n-1}(0) \times (0, \rho)} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} + \|u\|_{C^2(\overline{B_2^+})}), \quad (12)$$

where

$$B_1^{n-1}(0) \times (0, \rho) = \{(x', x_n) : |x'| < 1, 0 < x_n < \rho\}. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, the proof of Lemma 3 already implies that for small enough $\tilde{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho$ and $x_1 \in B_2^+$ satisfying $B_{2\tilde{\rho}}(x_1) \subset B_2^+$ we have

$$[D^2u]_{\alpha; B_{\tilde{\rho}}(x_1)} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{B_2^+})} + \|u\|_{C^2(\overline{B_2^+})}). \quad (14)$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

Finally, the boundary Schauder estimates (problem 2) is an immediate corollary of the claim and the interpolation theorem. (c.f. the video lecture 3.) \square

3. (10 points) Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^n having the uniform exterior sphere boundary condition. Suppose that a_{ij}, b_i, c, f ($i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) are bounded functions defined over Ω satisfying $c(x) \leq 0$, $|a_{ij}(x)| \leq \Lambda$, $|b_i(x)| \leq \Lambda$ in Ω . Moreover, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda \|\xi\|^2 \leq a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$ holds for $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, show that there exists some constant $C = C(n, \Omega, \lambda, \Lambda)$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq C \sup_{\Omega} |f|, \quad (15)$$

holds for every $u \in D^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $f = a_{ij}u_{ij} + b_i u_i + cu$ in Ω and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. We may assume $\Omega \subset \{0 < x_1 < L\}$ for some large L by translating the coordinate system. Next, given $\epsilon > 0$ we consider a barrier

$$w_\epsilon = M(1 - e^{-\alpha x_1}), \quad (16)$$

where

$$\alpha = 2\Lambda/\lambda, \quad M = \lambda\Lambda^{-2}e^{\alpha D}(\epsilon + \sup |f|).$$

Then, we have $w_\epsilon > u$ on $\partial\Omega$. Toward a contradiction, we suppose that $u < w_\epsilon$ fails. Then, there exists an interior point $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0) - w_\epsilon(x_0) = \sup u - w_\epsilon \geq 0$. We define

$$\tilde{w}(x) = w_\epsilon(x) + u(x_0) - w_\epsilon(x_0), \quad (17)$$

which satisfies $\tilde{w} \geq u$ and $\tilde{x}_0 = u(x_0)$. Hence, at the interior maximum x_0 we have

$$0 \leq a_{ij}(\tilde{w} - u)_{ij} + b_i(\tilde{w} - u)_i. \quad (18)$$

Since $(w_\epsilon)_i = \tilde{w}_i$, $(w_\epsilon)_{ij} = \tilde{w}_{ij}$, and $cu \leq 0$, at x_0 we have a contraction as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0) &\leq a_{ij}(w_\epsilon)_{ij} + b_i(w_\epsilon)_i = Me^{-\alpha x_1}(-\alpha^2 a_{11} + \alpha b_1) \\ &\leq Me^{-\alpha L}\alpha(-\alpha\lambda + \Lambda) = -\lambda\Lambda^{-1}e^{-\alpha D}M = -\epsilon - \sup |f|. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence,

$$u < \lambda\Lambda^{-2}e^{\alpha D}(\epsilon + \sup |f|). \quad (20)$$

holds for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Passing ϵ to 0 yields the desired result. \square

4. (10 points) Suppose that a smooth function $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $u_{ttt} - 2u_{ttx} - u_{txx} + 2u_{xxx} = 0$ at all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T)$. Moreover, $u(x, 0) = g(x) = e^x$, $u_t(x, 0) = h(x) = x + 2e^x$, and $u_{tt}(x, 0) = k(x) = 4e^x + 2$ hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Find all possible solutions.

HINT: $\partial_t^3 - 2\partial_t^2\partial_x - \partial_t\partial_x^2 + 2\partial_x^3 = (\partial_t - 2\partial_x)(\partial_t - \partial_x)(\partial_t + \partial_x)$.

Proof. Let us define $w = (\partial_t - 2\partial_x)u = u_t - 2u_x$. Then, w satisfies the wave equation

$$0 = (\partial_t - \partial_x)(\partial_t + \partial_x)(\partial_t - 2\partial_x)u = (\partial_t - \partial_x)(\partial_t + \partial_x)w = w_{tt} - w_{xx}.$$

In addition,

$$w(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) - 2u_x(x, 0) = h(x) - 2g'(x) = x,$$

$$w_t(x, 0) = u_{tt}(x, 0) - 2u_{tx}(x, 0) = k(x) - 2h'(x) = 0.$$

Hence, the d'Alembert's formula yields,

$$w(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}[w(x+t, 0) + w(x-t, 0)] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} w_t(s, 0) ds = \frac{1}{2}[(x+t) + (x-t)] = x.$$

Namely, $u_t - 2u_x = x$. Since $u(x, 0) = g(x)$, the transport equation formula yields

$$u(x, t) = g(x + 2t) + \int_0^t x + 2(t-s) ds = \exp(x + 2t) + xt + t^2.$$

In particular, it is the unique smooth solution. □

5. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open set with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let $\{(w_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}$ be the sequence pairs of the Dirichlet Laplace eigenfunction and eigenvalue satisfying $\|w_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, $0 < \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1}$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_i = +\infty$, $\langle w_i, w_j \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \delta_{ij}$, and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ spans $L^2(\Omega)$.

Suppose that a smooth function $u \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ satisfies the *damped wave equation*

$$u_{tt} + u_t = \Delta u - u$$

in $\Omega \times [0, T)$ and the Dirichlet condition $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T)$.

(A) (3 points) Show that the smooth function $a_i(t) = \langle u(x, t), w_i(x) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$ satisfies

$$a_i'' + a_i' + (\lambda_i + 1)a_i = 0. \quad (*)$$

Proof. We can directly compute

$$\begin{aligned} a_i'' + a_i' &= \partial_{tt} \langle u, w_i \rangle_{L^2} + \partial_{tt} \langle u, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u_{tt} + u_t, w_i \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle \Delta u - u, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\partial\Omega} u, w_i dx - \langle \nabla u, \nabla w_i \rangle_{L^2} - \langle u, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = -(\lambda_i + 1)a_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

(B) (2 points) The ODE theory implies that the solution $a_i(t)$ to (*) must be

$$a_i(t) = \alpha_i e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \cos(\mu_i t) + \beta_i e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sin(\mu_i t)$$

for some constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mu_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i + \frac{3}{4}}$.

Determine α_i and β_i in terms of $g(x) = u(x, 0)$, $h(x) = u_t(x, 0)$, $w_i(x)$, and μ_i .

Proof. First,

$$\alpha_i = a_i(0) = \langle g, w_i \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Next,

$$\langle h, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = a_i'(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i + \mu_i \beta_i.$$

namely,

$$\beta_i = \mu_i^{-1} \langle h + \frac{1}{2}g, w_i \rangle_{L^2} \quad (21)$$

□

(C) (5 points) Show that $\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$ for some constant C depending on g, h and their derivatives.

Proof. It is enough to show $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1)(\alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2) \leq C$, because

$$\begin{aligned} e^t \|u\|_{H^1}^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i \cos(\mu_i t) + \beta_i \sin(\mu_i t)) w_i \right\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1) (\alpha_i \cos(\mu_i t) + \beta_i \sin(\mu_i t))^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1) (\alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2). \end{aligned}$$

We begin by observing

$$\langle g, w_i \rangle_{H^1} = \langle \nabla g, \nabla w_i \rangle_{L^2} + \langle g, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = (\lambda_i + 1) \langle g, w_i \rangle_{L^2} = (\lambda_i + 1) \alpha_i.$$

Since $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|w_i\|_{H^1}^{-2} \langle g, w_i \rangle_{H^1} w_i$, we have

$$\|g\|_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|w_i\|_{H^1}^{-2} \langle g, w_i \rangle_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1) \alpha_i^2.$$

Next, we find $f = h + \frac{1}{2}g$, and repeat the previous process to f . Then,

$$\|f\|_{H^1}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1) \mu_i^2 \beta_i^2 \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i + 1) \beta_i^2.$$

Hence,

$$e^t \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{4}{3} \|h\| + \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_{H^1}^2.$$

□

6. Suppose that a smooth function $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])$ satisfies the *damped wave equation*

$$u_{tt} + u_t = \Delta u - u$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$.

(A) (10 points) Show that the following energy is non-increasing

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(R-t; x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + u^2 dx$$

where $B(R-t; x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| \leq R-t\}$, $R \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. We denote $\mathcal{B}_t = B(R-t; x_0)$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} E' &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_t} \nabla u \nabla u_t + u_t u_{tt} + u u_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}_t} |\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + u^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_t} -(\Delta u) u_t + u_t u_{tt} + u u_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}_t} -2u_\nu u_t + |\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + u^2 \\ &\leq - \int_{\mathcal{B}_t} |u_t|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}_t} u^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

(B) (5 points) Suppose that the initial data $g(x) = u(x, 0)$ and $h(x) = u_t(x, 0)$ are compactly supported. Show that $u(x, t)$ is also compactly supported for each $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let K be a compact set such that $u(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = 0$ if $x \notin K$. Given a time $T \in (0, \infty)$, if $B_{2T}(x_0) \cap K = \emptyset$ then the result in (a) implies $u(x, T) = u_t(x, T) = 0$ in $B_T(x_0)$. Hence, $u(x, T)$ is compactly supported. □

(C) (bonus) (10 points) Suppose that the initial data $g(x) = u(x, 0)$ and $h(x) = u_t(x, 0)$ are compactly supported. We define the energy $J(t)$ by

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + u^2 dx + \frac{1}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_t dx.$$

Show that $J(t) \geq \frac{1}{10} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$ and $J' + \frac{1}{10} J \leq 0$. Verify $\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ce^{-\frac{t}{20}}$ for some constant C .

Proof. We can directly compute

$$J(t) - \frac{1}{10} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \frac{1}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4|\nabla u|^2 + 5|u_t|^2 + 4u^2 + uu_t dx \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{9}{2}|u_t|^2 + \frac{7}{2}u^2 dx \geq 0.$$

Next, we recall that $u(x, t)$ is compactly supported by 4(B). Hence, as like the computation in 4(A)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 + |u_t|^2 + u^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla u \nabla u_t + u_t u_{tt} + uu_t dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -(\Delta u)u_t + u_t u_{tt} + uu_t dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us denote $\epsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ for simplicity. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} J' &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -(1 - \epsilon)u_t^2 + \epsilon uu_{tt} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -(1 - \epsilon)u_t^2 + \epsilon u(\Delta u - u - u_t) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - \epsilon)u_t^2 + \epsilon |\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon u^2 + \epsilon uu_t dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} J' + \epsilon J &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2 - 3\epsilon)u_t^2 + \epsilon |\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon u^2 + 2\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)uu_t dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 - 3\epsilon)u_t^2 + \epsilon |\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon u^2 - \epsilon^2 u^2 dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{\frac{t}{10}} J(t)) \leq 0,$$

namely $\frac{1}{10} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \leq J \leq Ce^{-\frac{t}{10}}$. □

7. Given a function $g \in C^\infty([0, \pi])$ with $g(0) = g(\pi) = 0$, we denote by $X_g \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ the set of smooth *uniformly bounded* functions $u(x, y) = u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ satisfying

$$0 = \Delta u + 2|x|^{-2}u = \partial_{rr}^2 u + \frac{\partial_r u}{r} + \frac{\partial_{\theta\theta} u}{r^2} + \frac{2u}{r^2}$$

in $\Omega = \{(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) : 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, r \geq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and satisfying the boundary condition

$$u(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) = g(\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in [0, \pi], \quad u(r, 0) = u(-r, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

Given $u \in X_g$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a smooth function $a_m \in C^\infty([1, \infty))$.

$$a_m(r) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\pi u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \sin(m\theta) d\theta.$$

We know that $\{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin(m\theta)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ form an orthogonal basis of $L^2((0, \pi))$. Thus,

$$u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m(r) \sin(m\theta).$$

(A) (2 points) Show that a_m satisfies $|a_m| \leq C$ for some constant C and the following equation

$$a_m'' + r^{-1} a_m' + r^{-2} (2 - m^2) a_m = 0. \quad (*)$$

Proof. Since $u \in L^\infty$, we have $|u| \leq M$ for some constant M . Thus,

$$|a_m| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\pi |u| |\sin \theta| d\theta \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\pi M d\theta \leq 3M.$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} a_m'' + \frac{1}{r} a_m' &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi (u_{rr} + r^{-1} u_r) \sin(m\theta) \theta = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\pi r^{-2} (u_{\theta\theta} + 2u) \sin(m\theta) \theta \\ &= -r^{-2} \int_0^\pi r^{-2} (-m^2 + 2) u \sin(m\theta) \theta = (m^2 - 2) a_m. \end{aligned}$$

□

(B) (6 points) The ODE theory implies that the solutions to (*) must be

$$a_1(r) = \alpha_1 \cos(\log r) + \beta_1 \sin(\log r),$$

for some constants $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for each $k \geq 2$

$$a_k(r) = \alpha_k r^{-\sqrt{k^2-2}} + \beta_k r^{\sqrt{k^2-2}}.$$

for some constants $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Determine α_m, β_m except β_1 . What are the possible β_1 ?

Proof. For $k \geq 2$,

$$\alpha_k + \beta_k = a_k(0) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\pi g(\theta) \sin(k\theta) d\theta = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2}.$$

We observe that $\alpha_k r^{-\sqrt{k^2-2}} \in L^\infty$ and recall the result in 5(A) that $a_m \in L^\infty$. They imply

$$\beta_k r^{\sqrt{k^2-2}} = a_m(r) - \alpha_k r^{-\sqrt{k^2-2}} \in L^\infty.$$

Since $r^{\sqrt{k^2-2}}$ diverges to ∞ , we have $\beta_k = 0$, and thus $\alpha_k = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle g, \sin(m\theta) \rangle_{L^2}$.

Next, in the same manner we can obtain

$$\alpha_1 = a_1(0) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle g, \sin \theta \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Here, we define $u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \langle g, \sin \theta \rangle_{L^2(0,\pi)} \sin \theta \cos(\ln r) + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 2} \langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2(0,\pi)} \sin(k\theta) r^{-\sqrt{k^2-2}}.$$

We observe that $g'' \in C^\infty[0,\pi] \in L^2(0,\pi)$ implies

$$\|g''\|_{L^2} = 2\pi^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle g'', \sin(m\theta) \rangle_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^4 \langle g, \sin(m\theta) \rangle_{L^2}^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} |\langle g, \sin \theta \rangle_{L^2}| + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 2} |\langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2}| \\ &\leq 2 \sup |g| + \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} k^4 |\langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} k^{-4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 \sup |g| + C \|g''\|_{L^2(0,\pi)}, \end{aligned}$$

namely $u_1 \in X^g \subset L^\infty$.

Now, we observe that $v(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \sin(\ln r) \in L^\infty$ satisfy $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Hence, for every $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_0 + \beta_1 v \in X^g$. Namely, β_1 can be any real number. \square

(C) (7 points) Let $X_g^0 \subset X_g$ consist of the solutions u which converges to 0 as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. What are the possible sizes of the set X_g^0 ? Provide the conditions of g determining the size of X_g^0 .

Proof. First of all, we recall that $|a_1(r)| \leq \sqrt{2/\pi} \int |u| d\theta \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, if $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \neq (0, 0)$ then $X_g^0 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$. Then, by the result above,

$$u = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 2} \langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2(0, \pi)} \sin(k\theta) r^{-\sqrt{k^2-2}}.$$

Then, by using $k-1 \leq \sqrt{k^2-2}$, if $r \geq 2$ then we have

$$|u| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 2} |\langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2}| r^{-(k-1)} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} |\langle g, \sin(k\theta) \rangle_{L^2}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} r^{-2k+2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(0, \pi)} r^{-1},$$

namely if $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ then $u \in X_g^0$ which is uniquely determined. In conclusion, if $\int_0^{2\pi} g(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 0$, then X_g^0 consists of one element. If not, X_g^0 is the empty set. \square

8. Ω is a smooth bounded open domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We would like to solve the semi-linear elliptic equation

$$\Delta u = u^3 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

for the Dirichlet condition $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$, where $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\|g\|_{L^\infty} = \epsilon$ is small.

(A) (3 points) Briefly verify that there exists a unique harmonic function $v_1 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $v_1 = g$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, (by using the maximum principle) show that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |v_1| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} |g|.$$

Proof. By the Kellogg's theorem, there exists a harmonic function $v_1 \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $v_1 = g$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since a harmonic function satisfies the mean value property, $v_1 \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, we have $D_i v_1 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\Delta D_i v_1 = 0$ in Ω . Also, by the Kellogg's theorem, there exists a harmonic function $v_{1,i} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $v_{1,i} = D_i v_1$ on $\partial\Omega$. Since there exists a harmonic function of class $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ for each Dirichlet condition, we have $D_i v_1 = v_{1,i} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Namely, $v_1 \in C^{3,\alpha}$. We can iterate this process to show $v_1 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

Finally, the maximum principle yields

$$\inf_{\partial\Omega} g \leq \sup_{\Omega} v_1 \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} g.$$

□

(B) (7 points) Briefly verify that given $v, f \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ the linear equation $\Delta w - 3v^2 w = f$ has a unique solution $w \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying $w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, (by using the comparison principle and barriers) show that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |w| \leq M \sup_{\Omega} |f|,$$

for some M depending on n, Ω .

Proof. Since $-3v^2 \leq 0$, by the Schauder estimates and the method of continuity, there exists a unique solution $w \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ to the uniformly elliptic linear equation $\Delta w - 3v^2 w = f$. Then, for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} u_i (\Delta w - 3v^2 w - f) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u (-\Delta w_i + 3v^2 w_i + 6vv_i w + f_i) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w_i + 3v^2 w_i + (6vv_i w + f_i)u = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Next, we observe that $w_i \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and thus there exists a unique solution $\tilde{w}_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ to $\Delta \tilde{w}_i - 3v^2 \tilde{w}_i = f_i + 6vv_i w$ such that $\tilde{w}_i = w_i$ on $\partial\Omega$. In addition,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{w}_i + 3v^2 u \tilde{w}_i + (f_i + 6vv_i w)u dx = 0, \quad (23)$$

holds for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Subtracting (22) and (23) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\tilde{w}_i - w_i) + 3v^2 u(\tilde{w}_i - w_i) = 0, \quad (24)$$

for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $\tilde{w}_i - w_i \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and C_0^∞ is dense in H_0^1 , there exists a sequence $u_i \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\lim u_i \rightarrow \tilde{w}_i - w_i$ in H^1 , and thus

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\tilde{w}_i - w_i)|^2 + 3v^2 |\tilde{w}_i - w_i|^2 = 0. \quad (25)$$

Namely, $w_i = \tilde{w}_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ and thus $w \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. By iterating this process, we obtain $w \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$.

Next, the result of the problem 3 yields the desired upper bounds. \square

(C) (3 points) Let $v_2 \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ be the solution to $\Delta v_2 - 3v_1^2 v_2 = f_2 = v_1^3$ satisfying $v_2 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Show that there exists small ϵ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |v_2| \leq M \sup_{\Omega} |v_1|^3 \leq \epsilon^2.$$

Proof. If we choose $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{10M}$, then the results above directly implies $|v_2| \leq \epsilon^2$. \square

(D) (bonus) (4 points) For $k \geq 3$, we let $v_{k+1} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ be the solution to

$$\Delta v_{k+1} - 3 \left(\sum_{m=1}^k v_m \right)^2 v_{k+1} = f_{k+1} = 3 \left(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} v_m \right) v_k^2 + v_k^3 = \left(\sum_{m=1}^k v_m \right)^3 - \sum_{m=1}^k \Delta v_m,$$

satisfying $v_{k+1} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Show that there exists small ϵ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |v_{k+1}| \leq \epsilon^{k+1}.$$

Proof. We may choose $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ so that we have $|\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} v_m| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} |v_m| \leq 2\epsilon$. Then, by induction we have $|f_{k+1}| \leq 6\epsilon |v_k|^2 + |v_k|^3 \leq 7\epsilon^{2k+1}$. For $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{10M}$, the result in 6(B) yields $|v_{k+1}| \leq \epsilon^{2k} \leq \epsilon^{k+1}$. \square

(E) (3 points) Let $u_k = \sum_{m=1}^k v_m$ and $\bar{u} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_k \in L^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$. Show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\Omega} |\Delta u_k - \bar{u}^3| = 0.$$

Proof. By the result above, we have $|u_k| \leq 2\epsilon$ and $|\bar{u} - u_k| \leq 2\epsilon^{k+1}$ by choosing small enough ϵ . Hence,

$$|\bar{u}^3 - \Delta u_k| = |\bar{u}^3 - u_k^3| \leq |\bar{u} - u_k| |\bar{u}^2 + \bar{u}u_k + u_k^2| \leq 100\epsilon^{k+3}.$$

Passing $k \rightarrow +\infty$ yields the desired result. \square

9. Suppose that $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty)$ is a smooth function such that $u(x, t) = u(x + e_i, t)$ holds for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the following equation holds

$$u_t = \Delta u - \sum_{i,j} \frac{u_i u_j u_{ij}}{1 + |\nabla u|^2}. \quad (26)$$

(A) (5 points) Show that the following holds for $t \geq 0$.

$$|\nabla u(x, t)|^2 \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x, 0)|^2. \quad (27)$$

Proof. We define

$$a_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{u_i(x)u_j(x)}{1 + |\nabla u(x)|^2}, \quad (28)$$

which satisfies

$$|\xi|^2 \geq a_{ij}x_i x_j \geq |\xi|^2 (1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{-1} \geq 0. \quad (29)$$

In addition, we have

$$u_t = a_{ij}u_{ij}. \quad (30)$$

We differentiate the equation by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$.

$$u_{kt} = a_{ij}u_{ijk} + u_{ij}\partial_k a_{ij}. \quad (31)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |\nabla u|^2 &= 2u_k u_{kt} = 2a_{ij}u_{ijk}u_k + 2u_{ij}u_k \partial_k a_{ij} \\ &= a_{ij}\partial_{ij} |\nabla u|^2 - 2a_{ij}u_{ik}u_{jk} + 2u_{ij}u_k \partial_k a_{ij} \leq \partial_{ij} |\nabla u|^2 + 2u_{ij}u_k \partial_k a_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In addition,

$$2u_k \partial_k a_{ij} = -\frac{2u_{ik}u_k u_j + 2u_{jk}u_k u_i}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \frac{4u_i u_j u_k u_{kl} u_l}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^2} = -\frac{u_j \partial_i |\nabla u|^2 + u_i \partial_j |\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \frac{2u_i u_j u_l \partial_l |\nabla u|^2}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^2}. \quad (33)$$

Hence,

$$\partial_t |\nabla u|^2 \leq \partial_{ij} |\nabla u|^2 + b_i \partial_i |\nabla u|^2, \quad (34)$$

where

$$b_i = -\frac{2u_i u_j}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \frac{2u_{pq} u_p u_q u_i}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^2}. \quad (35)$$

Therefore, the maximum principle and the periodicity imply the desired result. \square

(B) (5 points) Show that the following holds for $t \geq 0$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2} dx \leq 0, \quad (36)$$

where $\Omega = (0, 1)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. By using periodicity, we calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} dx = - \int_{\Omega} u_t \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) dx. \quad (37)$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) &= \frac{\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} + \nabla u \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Delta u}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{u_i u_j u_{ij}}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{u_t}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_t^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} dx \leq 0 \quad (39)$$

□

Remark. $\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}$ is the area of the graph of u over Ω .

10. (10 points) Let Ω be a convex bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary. Suppose that $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty))$ satisfies $u_t = \Delta u$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty)$ and $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty)$, where $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. Let $w : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the harmonic function satisfying $w = g$ on $\partial\Omega$. Show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x, t) - w(x)| = 0. \quad (40)$$

Proof. We consider $v = u - w$ which is a solution to the heat equation with zero Dirichlet data. Then, the energy $E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v^2(x, t) dx$ satisfies

$$E' = \int_{\Omega} v_t v dx = - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq -C_0 E(t), \quad (41)$$

for some constant C_0 by the Poincaré inequality. Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{C_0 t} E(t)) \leq 0, \quad (42)$$

implies

$$E(t) \leq e^{-C_0 t} E(0). \quad (43)$$

On the other hand, we showed

$$|\nabla v(x, t)| \leq K = \sup_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x, 0)|, \quad (44)$$

in class. To recall the proof, we may assume $0 \in \Omega$ and $-e_1$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at 0 . Then, $\phi(x) = Kx_1$ is an upper barrier and thus $v(x, t) \leq Kx_1$, and thus

$$v_1(0, t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{v(he_1, t) - v(0, t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{v(he_1, t)}{h} \leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} K = K. \quad (45)$$

In the same manner, we have $v_1(0, t) \geq -K$. Since $|\nabla v(0, t)| = |v_v(0, t)| = |v_1(0, t)|$, we have $|v_v(0, t)| \leq K$. Apply the same argument for all boundary point, we have

$$|\nabla v| \leq K, \quad (46)$$

on $\partial\Omega$. Then, $\partial_t |\nabla v|^2 \leq \Delta |\nabla v|^2$ and the maximum principle yield (44).

Now, without loss of generality, given t we may assume $v(x_0, t) = \sup |v(\cdot, t)|$. Then, (44) implies

$$v(x, t) \geq v(x_0, t) - K|x - x_0|, \quad (47)$$

where $|x - x_0| \leq K^{-1}v(x_0, t) = \rho$. Thus,

$$E(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} v^2(x, t) dx = |v^2(x_0, t)| \int_{B_\rho(0)} (1 - \rho|x|)^2 dx = C|v^2(x_0, t)| = C \sup |v(\cdot, t)|^2. \quad (48)$$

Therefore,

$$\sup |v(\cdot, t)|^2 \leq C^{-1} e^{-C_0 t} E(0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (49)$$

□